

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 6-8, 11 and 12 were allowed.

Claims 4 and 5 were objected to and the examiner has acknowledged that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Claim 3 is canceled, without prejudice.


1. Claim 3 was rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Yucknut. Applicant has canceled claim 3, without prejudice.
2. Claims 4 and 5 were objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim. Applicant has amended claim 4 to rewrite it in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim. Claim 5 is dependent from claim 4.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the allowances.

If the Examiner has any questions or comments, the undersigned attorney for Applicant respectfully requests a call to discuss any issues. The Office is authorized to charge any excess fees or to credit any overage to the undersigned's Deposit Account No. 50-1350.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 7, 2005

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
H. Dale Langley, Jr.  
Reg. No. 35,927

The Law Firm of H. Dale Langley, Jr., PC  
610 West Lynn  
Austin, Texas 78703  
Telephone: (512) 477-3830  
Facsimile: (512) 477-4080  
E-Mail: [dlangley@iptechlaw.com](mailto:dlangley@iptechlaw.com)